

THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH (State Bar No. 074414)
 THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH,
A Professional Law Corporation
 4328 Redwood Hwy, Suite 300
 San Rafael, CA 94903
 Telephone: 415/674-8600
 Facsimile: 415/674-9900

Attorneys for Plaintiffs CRAIG YATES
 and DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT,
 EDUCATION SERVICES

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 IN AND FOR SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| CRAIG YATES, an individual; and |) | CASE NO. CGC-07-469605 |
| DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT, |) | |
| EDUCATION, SERVICES: HELPING |) | OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF RELATED |
| YOU HELP OTHERS, a California public |) | CASES |
| benefit corporation, |) | |
| |) | PROPOSED CASES: |
| Plaintiffs, |) | |
| |) | CGC-06-452090; |
| v. |) | |
| |) | CGC-06-459119; |
| |) | |
| LA ROCCA'S CORNER; LEO LAROCCA, |) | CGC-07-467642; |
| TRUSTEE OF THE LEO LAROCCA |) | |
| REVOCABLE TRUST; PURGATORY |) | CGC-07-469073; |
| INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1- |) | |
| 20, inclusive, |) | CGC-08-473260; |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | CGC-08-473176 |

CAVEAT: Attorney Frank S. Moore, on behalf of defendant PURGATORY INC., a California corporation, appears to have filed a Notice of Related cases totaling six hundred and thirty-six (636). It is impossible for plaintiffs' counsel to specifically respond within the required five (5) day period and can only do so on active cases as plaintiffs' counsel does not have the resources to accomplish the task of responding to cases already dismissed. Plaintiffs' counsel, therefore, will make an applicable general statement.

Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel of record, submit their opposition to the Notice of Related Cases filed by defendant PURGATORY INC., a California corporation, seeking to relate the six hundred and thirty-six (636) cases listed in Exhibit A to this action.

1 In opposition, plaintiffs wish to make the Court aware of the following information:

2 1. That relating thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs and six hundred and thirty-six (636) cases
3 is ludicrous.

4 2. That each of the six hundred and thirty-six (636) cases involve different
5 defendants, with no one (1) defendant being named in more than one action (1) involving the
6 same public accommodation. The only similarity between the defendant parties is their
7 respective interests in the ownership and/or operation of various places of public accommodation
8 throughout Northern and Southern California. The Court would not find that Jane Doe, the
9 owner of the Burger King in Eureka, is substantially the same party as John Smith, the owner of
10 the Riverbend Restaurant in Sacramento, or that either would have any relationship to the
11 defendant City of Fort Bragg for purposes of case relation. Similarly, the Court should
12 recognize that the defendants to the actions at issue here are separate and unique individuals
13 and/or entities.

14 3. That the actions do not arise from the same or substantially identical happenings
15 or events insomuch as the physical premises at issue in each of the six hundred and thirty-six
16 (636) actions are wholly unique. While there are admittedly some similarities in the categories of
17 architectural barriers present at the subject businesses, *i.e.* several of the businesses may not
18 provide disabled parking, parking signage, directional signage, accessible entrances, accessible
19 dining areas, accessible hotel rooms, usable sidewalks, accessible men's and women's restrooms,
20 etc.; there are numerous discrete architectural issues which are specific to each business. For
21 instance, one restaurant has a step at the entrance which can be remedied through installation of
22 an accessible ramp; another has doors so narrow a wheelchair user cannot pass through; a third
23 has no disabled parking. Because the premises are different, the discrete architectural barriers
24 encountered by plaintiffs are different, and thus, it cannot be said that the actions arise from the
25 same or substantially identical happenings or events.

26 4. That the capacities of the various defendants to remove architectural barriers at
27 their respective places of public accommodation is very likely different, and change the legal and
28 factual issues which will need to be decided by the Court and/or the jury in each individual case.

1 The barrier removal requirements of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”),
2 the Unruh Civil Rights Act and the California Disabled Persons Act, are intended to be applied
3 on a case-by-case basis, with Congress and the Legislature recognizing the differing abilities of
4 business owners to provide disability access in existing facilities. What may be a readily
5 achievable barrier removal for one defendant, may not be readily achievable for another— there is
6 no cookie-cutter answer to what is or is not readily achievable. Therefore, the factually intensive
7 inquiry which must be undertaken precludes the consolidated type of discovery and motion
8 practice which is suggested by relating the cases.

9 5. That the unique construction history of each of the subject public
10 accommodations—when they were built, whether they have undergone alteration or
11 modification—subjects these public accommodations to differing disability access standards. As
12 an example, an accommodation built prior to 1990 will be subject to the barrier removal
13 requirements of the ADA whereas an accommodation built after 1990 will be subject to the new
14 construction standard of the ADA. Similarly, an accommodation built or altered after July 1982
15 must comply with the accessibility standards of Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations
16 (“Title 24”) as they stood at the time of construction. Therefore, to the extent the six hundred
17 and thirty-six (636) different public accommodations have their own construction histories, they
18 are potentially liable for violation of different disability access standards each of which offer
19 defendants different possible defenses.

20 6. That other types of factual issues, characterized in the Notice of Related Cases as
21 being identical, are in fact, quite specific to each action.

22 (A) Whether the claimed barriers actually barred plaintiffs from the subject
23 businesses. This issue is obviously dependent upon the nature and severity of the architectural
24 barriers specific to each public accommodation. As the barriers vary from place to place, the
25 degree to which each of the thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs was denied access will also vary.

26 (B) If there is technical non-compliance with the Americans with Disabilities
27 Act Accessibility Guidelines (“ADAAG”) and Title 24, did the Defendants give each of the
28 thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs effective, meaningful access to their facility? Again, to the extent that

1 the nature and severity of the architectural barriers vary, the degree of access will vary from case
2 to case.

3 (C) What is the extent of each of the thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs' alleged bodily
4 injuries? Was each plaintiff injured, or otherwise damaged? In almost all instances, the effort to
5 overcome architectural barriers to access results in some level of bodily injury to a plaintiff.
6 However, the type of injury and the extent to which it causes pain and suffering is particular to
7 each case. In one case, plaintiff may strain his arms and shoulders while struggling to roll his
8 wheelchair up an excessively steep ramp; while in another case, a plaintiff may slip and fall
9 while attempting to transfer from his wheelchair to a toilet without assistance of grab bars. The
10 injuries suffered by each of the thirty-eight (38) plaintiffs are far from identical in each case.

11 (D) What defendants and most Courts don't realize is that what paraplegics
12 and functional quadriplegics rely upon is their upper extremities, (functional quadriplegics to a
13 significantly lesser degree). Day in and day out they attempt to overcome a variety of barriers,
14 some architectural and some natural. What is a simple task such as opening a door can become a
15 monumental task, if that door and the pressure needed to open it take tremendous individual
16 pressure. This often leads to what we refer to as repetitive, continuous and cumulative trauma to
17 the upper extremities. It may only last thirty (30) seconds to two (2) minutes, but nevertheless,
18 no one is able to say this does not constitute an injury. That's why it is so important to plead and
19 why the public needs to understand it! Defendants need only take depositions to confirm this.

20 7. That many, if not all, of the potential legal issues raised by these cases are issues
21 which could be raised in virtually every disability access suit filed with this Court or any other
22 Court. Just because the general causes of action pled by plaintiffs lend themselves to certain
23 generic legal issues does not warrant relating the matters.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 If such were the case, the Court would have to relate every disability access action currently
 2 pending on its docket.

3 8. Further, Rule 3.300 (a), Related Cases, states:

4 A pending civil case is related to another pending civil case, or to a civil case that
 5 was dismissed with or without prejudice, or to a civil case that was disposed of by
 judgment, if the cases:

- 6 (1) Involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar claims;
- 7 (2) Arise from the same manner or substantially identical transactions, incidents, or
 events requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions
 of law or fact;
- 8 (3) Involve claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property; or
- 9 (4) Are likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources
 if heard by different judges.

10 Plaintiffs respond to each criteria as follows:

11 **(1) Involve the same parties and are based on the same or similar claims**

12 Other than the fact that many plaintiffs have filed multiple actions for
 13 access alleging violations of the ADA, Civil Code § 51, Civil Code § 54, and
 14 California Health & Safety Code §19955, *et seq.*, there is nothing else related to
 15 these cases.

16 Each case involves a different public accommodation. Each case
 17 involves different defendants in that it is rare that any other case has the same
 18 landlord and tenant. Each case involves different operational facts as to what took
 19 place. Each case has a different “readily achievable” standard or affirmative
 20 defense standard. Each case involves differing financial conditions for each
 21 defendant in asserting the readily achievable defense of financial inability.

22 **(2) Arise from the same manner or substantially identical transactions,**
 23 **incidents, or events requiring the determination of the same or**
substantially identical questions of law or fact

24 None of these cases arise from the same or substantially identical
 25 transactions, incidents or events, to wit: how are cases that were filed in 1999, or
 26 nine years ago, conceivably related at all? How are the claims of over 38
 27 plaintiffs related when, other than DREES, it appears that almost none were co-
 28 plaintiffs in any action filed?

Each incident and event in each of the cases was different, *i.e.* no two parking lots are the same, no two entrances are the same, no placement of signage would be the same, no two men's restrooms were the same, no two women's restrooms were the same, no two buildings were the same, etc. The same can be said for the elements in the restrooms, *i.e.* the type and placement of paper towel dispensers, toilet tissue dispensers, soap dispensers, toilet seat heights-all are very different. The affirmative defenses of these landlord-defendants and tenant-defendants differ from one another in these cases.

(3) Involve claims against, title to, possession of, or damages to the same property
Not applicable.

(4) Are likely for other reasons to require substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges

It's ludicrous to think there would be substantial duplication of judicial resources if heard by different judges considering the fact that the only common thread that runs between them are the causes of action that are alleged. It would be the same as saying that all air crash litigation should be related because they involve airports, airlines, manufacturers, and pilots that all led to a disaster.

Not one of the cases that Defendant is trying to relate have a substantial identical transaction requiring the determination of the same or substantially identical questions of law or fact because there are different operative facts, different landlords, different tenants and different barriers. The only thing that is similar is the fact that they are civil rights claims, as stated herein above.

For all of the forgoing reasons, plaintiffs believe that it would be improper and impractical to relate and/or reassign the subject cases.

Furthermore, there is absolutely no legal justification for defense counsel to file such an unmeritorious notice. As such, plaintiffs request that it be awarded attorneys fees and costs for the substantial time necessitated to respond and oppose this notice.

///

1 These sanctions would be requested pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and
2 California Code of Civil Procedure §128.5.

3 Finally, plaintiffs' counsel requests that Attorney Frank S. Moore be sanctioned by the
4 courts under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and California Code of Civil Procedure §128.5
5 for filing such a frivolous notice with the Court that has required substantial resources not only
6 for plaintiffs' counsel but also considerable resources for the number of courts and counsel who
7 have received and must appropriately handle this notice.

8
9 Dated: September 2, 2008

THOMAS E. FRANKOVICH,
A PROFESSIONAL LAW CORPORATION

10
11
12 By: /S/
13 Thomas E. Frankovich
14 Attorneys for Plaintiffs CRAIG YATES and
15 DISABILITY RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT,
16 EDUCATION SERVICES
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit A

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|--------------------|
| | Name | Case No. | Filing Date |
| 1 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. CIGAR AMOUR et al | CGC-06-452090 | 05/09/06 |
| 2 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. JUST DESSERTS et al | CGC-06-452131 | 05/10/06 |
| 3 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. THE ABBEY TAVERN et al | CGC-06-452509 | 05/23/06 |
| 4 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. DRAGON CITY RESTAURANT et al | CGC-06-456662 | 10/02/06 |
| 5 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. PAKWAN RESTAURANT et al | CGC-06-459119 | 12/28/06 |
| 6 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. RICO'S RESTAURANT et al | CGC-07-467642 | 09/27/07 |
| 7 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. K & L RESTAURANT et al | CGC-07-469073 | 11/09/07 |
| 8 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. CANDYSTORE COLLECTIVES et al | CGC-08-470951 | 01/10/08 |
| 9 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. UNION SQUARE et al | CGC-08-473176 | 03/11/08 |
| 10 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. DA FLORA RESTAURANT et al | CGC-08-473260 | 03/13/08 |
| 11 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al | CGC-08-473716 | 03/27/08 |
| 12 | LES JANKEY et al vs. QUEEN ANNE HOTEL et al | CGC-05-443-089 | 07/13/05 |
| 13 | LES JANKEY et al vs. HERITAGE MARINA HOTEL et al | CGC-05-443128 | 07/14/05 |
| 14 | LES JANKEY et al vs. MARK TWAIN HOTEL et al | CGC-05-443154 | 07/15/05 |
| 15 | LES JANKEY et al vs. EL HERRADERO RESTAURANT et al | CGC-05-443179 | 07/18/05 |
| 16 | LES JANKEY et al vs. THE BLUE MUSE RESTAURANT et al | CGC-06-452092 | 05/09/06 |
| 17 | NICOLE MOSS et al vs. RED JADE RESTAURANT et al | CGC-06-452098 | 05/10/06 |
| 18 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. TWILIGHT ZONE et al | CGC-06-452101 | 05/10/06 |
| 19 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. NORTH BEACH PIZZA et al | CGC-06-452129 | 05/10/06 |
| 20 | LES JANKEY et al vs. ASA SUSHI et al | CGC-06-452130 | 05/10/06 |
| 21 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. JUST DESSERTS et al | CGC-06-452131 | 05/10/06 |
| 22 | NICOLE MOSS et al vs. ROYAL GROUND COFFEE et al | CGC-06-452133 | 05/10/06 |
| 23 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. THE ABBEY TAVERN et al | CGC-06-452509 | 05/23/06 |
| 24 | LES JANKEY et al vs. LAUREL INN ASSOCIATES et al | CGC-06-452983 | 06/08/06 |
| 25 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. O'REILLY'S IRISH PUB & REST. et al | CGC-06-454493 | 07/25/06 |
| 26 | LES JANKEY et al vs. TIA MARGARITA et al | CGC-06-455148 | 08/15/06 |
| 27 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. SZECHUAN TASTE REST. et al | CGC-06-455150 | 08/15/06 |
| 28 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. LUISA'S RESTORANTE et al | CGC-06-457253 | 10/23/06 |
| 29 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. PAKWAN RESTAURANT et al | CGC-06-459119 | 12/28/06 |
| 30 | LES JANKEY et al vs. THE HOTEL MAJESTIC et al | CGC-07-459698 | 01/18/07 |
| 31 | LES JANKEY et al vs. GLASS PROPERTIES LP et al | CGC-07-462659 | 04/24/07 |
| 32 | LES JANKEY et al vs. SONG KOO LEE et al | CGC-07-463040 | 05/03/07 |
| 33 | NICOLE MOSS et al vs. MOLTE COSE et al | CGC-07-464669 | 06/27/07 |
| 34 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. K & L RESTAURANT et al | CGC-07-469073 | 11/09/07 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|----|---|-----------------|--------------------|
| | SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT (CONTINUED) | | |
| 35 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. GRAFFEO COFFEE ROASTING Co. et al | CGC-07-469631 | 11/30/07 |
| 36 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. XOX TRUFFLES et al | CGC-07-470559 | 12/31/07 |
| 37 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. CANDYSTORE COLLECTIVES et al | CGC-08-470951 | 01/10/08 |
| 38 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. PETITE DELI et al | CGC-08-470953 | 01/10/08 |
| 39 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. SUSHI ON NORTH BEACH-KATSU et al | CGC-08-472709 | 02/28/08 |
| 40 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. DA FLORA RESTAURANT et al | CGC-08-473260 | 03/13/08 |
| 41 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al | CGC-08-473716 | 03/27/08 |
| 42 | LES JANKEY et al vs. PLOUGH AND THE STARS et al | CGC-08-474085 | 04/29/08 |
| 43 | LES JANKEY et al vs. HAWTHORNE/STONE REAL ESTATE INVESTMENTS et al | CGC-08-474557 | 04/23/08 |
| | SAN MATEO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT | | |
| | <u>Name</u> | <u>Case No.</u> | <u>Filing Date</u> |
| 44 | MARSHALL LOSKOT et al vs. AIRPORT NORTH TRAVELODGE | CIV456401 | 07/24/06 |
| | MARIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT | | |
| | <u>Name</u> | <u>Case No.</u> | <u>Filing Date</u> |
| 45 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al | CIV053454 | 07/28/05 |
| 46 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. CAPRA'S RESTAURANT et al | CIV061583 | 04/07/06 |
| 47 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. VIKING BAR CORP. et al | CIV062007 | 05/12/06 |
| 48 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. VIKING BAR CORP. et al | CIV062223 | 05/22/06 |
| 49 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. PETER PAN DOUGHNUTS | CIV064664 | 10/19/06 |
| 50 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. MING YEN RESTAURANT et al | CIV071909 | 04/24/07 |
| 51 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al | CIV053454 | 07/28/05 |
| 52 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. TACO JANE'S et al | CIV061134 | 03/17/06 |
| 53 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. CAPRA'S RESTAURANT et al | CIV061583 | 04/07/06 |
| 54 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. VIKING BAR CORP. et al | CIV062007 | 05/12/06 |
| 55 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. PEPPER'S RESTAURANT et al | CIV062191 | 05/18/06 |
| 56 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. CHAI W. CHAN et al | CIV062650 | 06/16/06 |
| 57 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. PETER PAN DOUGHNUTS | CIV064664 | 10/19/06 |
| 58 | CRAIG YATES et al vs. MING YEN RESTAURANT et al | CIV071909 | 04/24/07 |
| 59 | PATRICK CONNALLY et al vs. SHAPIRO ASSOCIATES | CIV072045 | 05/01/07 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| | <u>Name</u> | <u>Case No.</u> | <u>Filing Date</u> |
| 60 | YATES et al vs. ASSOCIATED MAIN STREET PARTNERS et al | 3:2004cv04308-BZ | 10/12/04 |
| 61 | YATES et al vs. NICOLAI BUILDING et al | 3:2004cv05087-JCS | 12/01/04 |
| 62 | YATES et al vs. HELLER'S FOR CHILDREN et al | 3:2004cv05211-PJH | 12/09/04 |
| 63 | YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al | 3:2005cv03610-JL | 09/07/05 |
| 64 | YATES et al vs. NAPA VALLEY CASINO et al | 3:2006cv07917-MMC | 12/27/06 |
| 65 | YATES et al vs. PETCO ANIMAL SUPPLIES STORES et al | 3:2007cv00460-WHA | 01/23/07 |
| 66 | YATES et al vs. CELIA'S RESTAURANTS et al | 3:2007cv00946-CRB | 02/14/07 |
| 67 | YATES et al vs. NEW TIN'S MARKET et al | 3:2007cv01403-MHP | 03/09/07 |
| 68 | YATES et al vs. BELLI DELI et al | 3:2007cv01405-WHA | 03/09/07 |
| 69 | YATES et al vs. THE CHEESE STEAK SHOP et al | 3:2007cv01566-PJH | 03/19/07 |
| 70 | YATES et al vs. FOSTER FREEZE BERKELEY et al | 3:2007cv02100-JSW | 04/13/07 |
| 71 | YATES et al vs. D & A CAFÉ et al | 3:2007cv02525-MMC | 02/11/07 |
| 72 | YATES et al vs. WINTER PROPERTIES et al | 3:2007cv02657-JL | 05/18/07 |
| 73 | YATES et al vs. EL SOMBRERO et al | 3:2007cv03033-EDL | 06/12/07 |
| 74 | YATES et al vs. BIMBO BAKERIES USA et al | 3:2007cv03326-MMC | 06/25/07 |
| 75 | YATES et al vs. LOTUS CUISINE OF INDIA et al | 4:2007cv03889-WDB | 07/30/07 |
| 76 | YATES et al vs. DISCOUNT ALLEY et al | 3:2007cv04177-EDL | 08/14/07 |
| 77 | YATES et al vs. RED'S RECOVERY ROOM et al | 3:2007cv04395-JCS | 08/24/07 |
| 78 | YATES et al vs. N-O-H-R PLAZA et al | 3:2007cv05485-MMC | 10/26/07 |
| 79 | YATES et al vs. LEVIN COMMERCIAL FACILITY et al | 3:2007cv06498-WHA | 12/28/07 |
| 80 | YATES et al vs. UNICORN PAN ASIAN CUISINE et al | 3:2008cv00356-JSW | 01/18/08 |
| 81 | YATES et al vs. BURGER KING # 3157 et al | 3:2008cv00737-JCS | 01/31/08 |
| 82 | YATES et al vs. KING OF THAI NOODLE # 2 et al | 3:2008cv01877-WHA | 04/08/08 |
| 83 | YATES et al vs. SWEET DELIGHT et al | 3:2008cv01958-JCS | 04/14/08 |
| 84 | YATES et al vs. TWICE IS NICE et al | 3:2008cv02165-SI | 04/25/08 |
| 85 | YATES et al vs. ZEPHYR CAFÉ et al | 3:2008cv02293-PJH | 05/02/08 |
| 86 | YATES et al vs. SUSHI BISTRO et al | 4:2008cv02545-CW | 05/20/08 |
| 87 | YATES et al vs. SYERS PROPERTIES et al | 3:2008cv02734-SI | 05/30/08 |
| 88 | YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al | 3:2008cv02932-JL | 06/12/08 |
| 89 | YATES et al vs. FRANKLIN ARDEN LLC | 3:2008cv03004-EDL | 06/18/08 |
| 90 | YATES et al vs. HAPPY DRAGON et al | 3:2008cv03183-BZ | 07/02/08 |
| 91 | YATES et al vs. TEQUILA GRILL et al | 4:2008cv03914-CW | 08/15/08 |
| 92 | CONE et al vs. SEQUOIA GROVE | 3:2000cv04298-WHA | 11/17/00 |
| 93 | CONE et al vs. SONOMA VALLEY VISIT | 3:2000cv04352-TEH | 11/20/00 |
| 94 | RAY et al vs. COMFORT INN et al | 3:2000cv04353-PJH | 11/20/00 |
| 95 | JANKEY et al vs. HOTEL DEL SOL et al | 3:2001cv00489-SI | 01/30/01 |
| 96 | CONE et al vs. NAPA VALLEY MARRIOTT et al | 4:2001cv00488-CW | 01/30/01 |
| 97 | PICKERN et al vs. CITY OF EUREKA et al | 4:2001cv00320-WDB | 01/19/01 |
| 98 | PICKERN et al vs. WILLOW CREEK 76 | 3:2001cv00673-MMC | 02/13/01 |
| 99 | PICKERN et al vs. CHEVRON WILLOW CREEK et al | 3:2001cv00675-MJJ | 02/13/01 |
| 100 | RAY et al vs. PACIFIC MOTOR INN et al | 3:2001cv00680-PJH | 02/13/01 |
| 101 | DORAN et al vs. BALBOA CAFÉ et al | 4:2001cv00828-CW | 02/26/01 |
| 102 | DORAN et al vs. RENDEZVOUS CAFÉ et al | 3:2001cv00829-SI | 02/26/01 |
| 103 | D'LIL et al vs. BIJOU ASSOCIATES et al | 3:2001cv01667-JL | 04/30/01 |
| 104 | DORAN et al vs. WILSON & KRATZER MORTUARIES | 3:2001cv01739-JCS | 05/03/01 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 105 | PICKERN et al vs. NAPA AUTO PARTS EUREKA STORE et al | 3:2001cv02111-JL | 05/31/01 |
| 106 | PICKERN et al vs. FRESH FREEZE SUPPLY | 3:2001cv02112-SI | 05/31/01 |
| 107 | CONNALLY et al vs. BAHIA BUSINESS CENTER et al | 3:2001cv01741-CRB | 05/03/01 |
| 108 | KONE et al vs. ZANDONELLA REPORTING SERVICE et al | 3:2001cv01808-MMC | 05/09/01 |
| 109 | SAMUEL et al vs. E Z 8 MOTELS et al | 5:2001cv20401-RS | 05/10/01 |
| 110 | GERBER et al vs. LA SCALA INN et al | 3:2001cv02168-PJH | 06/04/01 |
| 111 | PICKERN et al vs. BEST WESTERN INN AT THE SQUARE et al | 4:2001cv02202-CW | 06/06/01 |
| 112 | LOSKOT et al vs. SEA CAPTAIN MOTEL et al | 3:2001cv02237-VRW | 06/07/01 |
| 113 | TAUBOLD et al vs. CITY OF FORT BRAGG | 3:2001cv02777-CRB | 07/20/01 |
| 114 | CONNALLY et al vs. FAST EDDIE'S STYLE BAR-B-QUE et al | 3:2001cv03145-PJH | 08/15/01 |
| 115 | DORAN et al vs. REDWOOD INN et al | 3:2001cv03268-PJH | 08/27/01 |
| 116 | MOELLER et al vs. BENIHANA RESTAURANT BURLINGAME et al | 3:2001cv03348-JCS | 09/04/01 |
| 117 | DORAN et al vs. QUALITY INN EUREKA et al | 4:2001cv03412-SBA | 09/07/01 |
| 118 | LOSKOT et al vs. BROADWAY INN MANOR et al | 3:2001cv03866-VRW | 10/12/01 |
| 119 | DORAN et al vs. CAPRI MOTEL et al | 3:2001cv04016-VRW | 10/25/01 |
| 120 | DORAN et al vs. PREMIER INNS CONCORD et al | 3:2001cv05227-JL | 12/26/01 |
| 121 | DORAN et al vs. NANTUCKET RESTAURANT et al | 3:2001cv05228-MMC | 12/26/01 |
| 122 | DORAN et al vs. CHINA KING RESTAURNAT et al | 3:2001cv05364-JL | 12/27/01 |
| 123 | DORAN et al vs. MILL VALLEY TRAVELODGE et al | 4:2002cv00229-WDB | 01/14/02 |
| 124 | LOSKOT et al vs. ZACK'S FAMILY RESTAURANT AND CATERING et al | 4:2002cv01554-CW | 04/01/02 |
| 125 | DORAN et al vs. VOGUE CLEANERS et al | 3:2002cv01881-PJH | 04/18/02 |
| 126 | DORAN et al vs. EMBASSY SUITES HOTEL et al | 3:2002cv01961-EDL | 04/22/02 |
| 127 | DORAN et al vs. PACIFIC HEIGHTS INN et al | 3:2002cv02666-CRB | 06/04/02 |
| 128 | CONNALLY et al vs. WEST END SHOPPING CENTER et al | 3:2002cv03341-CRB | 07/11/02 |
| 129 | DORAN et al vs. FRANCISCO BAY INN et al | 3:2002cv03260-PJH | 07/09/02 |
| 130 | DORAN et al vs. BEL AIRE PROPERTIES et al | 3:2002cv03261-JCS | 07/09/02 |
| 131 | ASHLEY et al vs. PRESIDIO INN et al | 3:2002cv04138-CRB | 08/28/02 |
| 132 | CONE et al vs. SONOMA CHEESE FACTORY et al | 3:2002cv04536-EDL | 09/18/02 |
| 133 | LOSKOT et al vs. POLK STREET ECONO LODGE et al | 4:2002cv04537-CW | 09/18/02 |
| 134 | DORAN et al vs. CONCORD BEST WESTERN HERITAGE INN et al | 3:2002cv04543-EDL | 09/18/02 |
| 135 | JANKEY et al vs. CASTLE INN et al | 3:2002cv04545-MJJ | 09/18/02 |
| 136 | CONE et al vs. LOS ALTOS RESTAURANT et al | 5:2002cv05269-JF | 11/01/02 |
| 137 | CONE et al vs. BROILER EXPRESS et al | 3:2002cv05448-VRW | 11/18/02 |
| 138 | LOSKOT et al vs. PIZZERIA UNO LOMBARD | 3:2002cv05463-JL | 11/18/02 |
| 139 | WELLS et al vs. WILDHORSE CAFÉ et al | 5:2002cv05503-JF | 11/19/02 |
| 140 | WELLS et al vs. BEACON KING CITY TRUCK PLAZA et al | 5:2002cv05507-PVT | 11/19/02 |
| 141 | CONNALLY et al vs. SEBT MALL et al | 5:2002cv05947-SI | 12/26/02 |
| 142 | MOLSKI et al vs. CAMELOT RESTAURANT et al | 5:2003cv00018-HRL | 01/02/03 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 143 | DORAN et al vs. NEWELL AVENUE STRIP MALL et al | 3:2003cv00192-WHA | 01/13/03 |
| 144 | DORAN et al vs. PANE E. VINO RESTAURANT et al | 3:2003cv00348-MEJ | 01/27/03 |
| 145 | BROOKE et al vs. BELL MARKET NOVATO et al | 3:2003cv00675-MJJ | 02/18/03 |
| 146 | CONNALLY et al vs. HUNAN RESTAURANT et al | 3:2003cv01370-JL | 03/31/03 |
| 147 | DORAN et al vs. MONTECATINI RISTORANTE et al | 3:2003cv01134-JSW | 03/17/03 |
| 148 | CONNALLY et al vs. KINKO'S et al | 4:2003cv01181-CW | 03/19/03 |
| 149 | DORAN et al vs. C.C. OLE'S MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al | 3:2003cv01190-CRB | 03/19/03 |
| 150 | CONNALLY et al vs. COURT SQUARE CENTER et al | 3:2003cv01387-JL | 04/01/03 |
| 151 | DORAN et al vs. RICHARDSON ECONOMY INN et al | 3:2003cv01491-BZ | 04/08/03 |
| 152 | WILSON et al vs. LONGS DRUG STORE et al | 4:2003cv01762-WDB | 04/21/03 |
| 153 | DORAN et al vs. FRANKLIN BUILDING et al | 3:2003cv01796-WHA | 04/22/03 |
| 154 | WILSON et al vs. TRANCAS MALL et al | 3:2003cv01913-VRW | 04/28/03 |
| 155 | DORAN et al vs. ANTIOCH HERITAGE INN et al | 3:2003cv02427-VRW | 05/22/03 |
| 156 | DORAN et al vs. CASPER'S HOT DOGS et al | 3:2003cv02428-MMC | 05/22/03 |
| 157 | DORAN et al vs. HOLIDAY INN EXPRESS BRENTWOOD et al | 3:2003cv02639-MMC | 06/05/03 |
| 158 | DORAN et al vs. DAYS INN GEARY STREET et al | 3:2003cv02641-PJH | 06/05/03 |
| 159 | LOSKOT et al vs. BEST INN EL CERRITO et al | 3:2003cv02697-MJJ | 06/10/03 |
| 160 | HARRIS et al vs. CRESCENT CITY DAYS INN et al | 3:2003cv02837-MEJ | 06/17/03 |
| 161 | LOSKOT et al vs. TAQUERIA MEXICAN GRILL et al | 3:2003cv02895-VRW | 06/23/03 |
| 162 | HARRIS et al vs. CURLY REDWOOD LODGE | 3:2003cv02902-MJJ | 06/23/03 |
| 163 | HARRIS et al vs. DENNY'S CRESCENT CITY et al | 3:2003cv02904-MHP | 06/23/03 |
| 164 | HARRIS et al vs. GHIRADELLI SQUARE TRAVELODGE et al | 3:2003cv03530-SI | 07/29/03 |
| 165 | DORAN et al vs. HUNTINGTON HOTEL et al | 3:2003cv04241-MEJ | 09/17/03 |
| 166 | CONNALLY et al vs. MAGNOLIA CENTER et al | 3:2003cv04329-JCS | 09/24/03 |
| 167 | CONNALLY et al vs. JIM CORBET'S ACE HARDWARE et al | 3:2003cv04688-JCS | 10/17/03 |
| 168 | DORAN et al vs. SEAL ROCK INN et al | 3:2003cv04702-JSW | 10/20/03 |
| 169 | LOSKOT et al vs. RAMADA INN OAKLAND et al | 4:2003cv04902-SBA | 11/03/03 |
| 170 | CONNALLY et al vs. WEST AMERICA BANK et al | 3:2003cv04990-JCS | 11/10/03 |
| 171 | CONNALLY et al vs. Mc LERAN PROPERTIES et al | 3:2003cv05049-JCS | 11/13/03 |
| 172 | STICKNEY et al vs. GIANT CHEF BURGER et al | 3:2003cv05243-MEJ | 11/24/03 |
| 173 | MOSS et al vs. HEARST PARKING CENTER et al | 3:2003cv05485-SI | 12/04/03 |
| 174 | CONNALLY et al vs. SEBT MALL et al | 3:2003cv05571-SI | 12/11/03 |
| 175 | DORAN et al vs. JACKSON'S WINE AND SPIRITS et al | 3:2004cv00826-MJJ | 02/27/04 |
| 176 | WILSON et al vs. RING'S RESTAURANT et al | 3:2004cv01128-BZ | 03/22/04 |
| 177 | LOSKOT et al vs. BEST WESTERN CARRIAGE INN et al | 3:2004cv01129-CRB | 03/22/04 |
| 178 | CONNALLY et al vs. PACIFIC LUMBER AND HARDWARE et al | 3:2004cv01217-PJH | 03/26/04 |
| 179 | CONNALLY et al vs. THREE DRAGONS RESTAURANT et al | 3:2004cv01241-TEH | 03/29/04 |
| 180 | CONNALLY et al vs. ENTENMANN'S Inc et al | 3:2004cv01313-CRB | 04/05/04 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| | | | |
| 181 | CONNALLY et al vs. WOODGER TRUST BUILDING et al | 3:2004cv01314-JL | 04/05/04 |
| 182 | LOSKOT et al vs. HOLIDAY INN CONCORD et al | 3:2004cv01356-JL | 04/07/04 |
| 183 | CONNALLY et al vs. ENTENMANN'S Inc et al | 4:2004cv01443-CRB | 04/13/04 |
| 184 | CONNALLY et al vs. CELIA'S MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al | 3:2004cv01488-VRW | 04/15/04 |
| 185 | DORAN et al vs. CORTE MADERA INN BEST WESTERN et al | 3:2003cv01192-SI | 04/22/04 |
| 186 | CONE et al vs. HEALDSBURG TRAVELODGE et al | 3:2004cv01606-VRW | 04/26/04 |
| 187 | LOSKOT et al vs. PETE'S HENNY PENNY et al | 3:2004cv01607-WHA | 04/26/04 |
| 188 | CONE et al vs. DRY CREEK INN | 3:2004cv01725-JSW | 05/03/04 |
| 189 | MOLSKI et al vs. LUPITA et al | 5:2004cv01852-PVT | 05/11/04 |
| 190 | MOLSKI et al vs. PUMP N GO et al | 3:2004cv01854-BZ | 05/11/04 |
| 191 | MOLSKI et al vs. THE COVE et al | 5:2004cv01880-RMW | 05/12/04 |
| 192 | MOLSKI et al vs. RAPAZZINI WINERY et al | 5:5004cv01881-PVT | 05/12/04 |
| 193 | MOLSKI et al vs. EL 7 MARES RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv01882-PVT | 05/12/04 |
| 194 | MOLSKI et al vs. LONGHOUSE RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv01942-RS | 05/18/04 |
| 195 | MOLSKI et al vs. ELAINE M. WEST et al | 5:2004cv01943-RMW | 05/18/04 |
| 196 | MOLSKI et al vs. TORO PETROLEUM et al | 5:2004cv01941-JF | 05/18/04 |
| 197 | MOLSKI et al vs. MORGAN HILL 76 et al | 5:2004cv01945-JW | 05/18/04 |
| 198 | MOLSKI et al vs. CASA MEDINA et al | 5:2004cv01947-RMW | 05/18/04 |
| 199 | MOLSKI et al vs. CASA DE FRUTA et al | 5:2004cv01981-PVT | 05/20/04 |
| 200 | MOLSKI et al vs. ROY'S DRIVE-IN et al | 5:2004cv01983-RMW | 05/20/04 |
| 201 | MOLSKI et al vs. ALBERTSON'S | 5:2004cv01984-JW | 05/20/04 |
| 202 | MOLSKI et al vs. LA ROCHELLE et al | 5:2004cv01985-HRL | 05/20/04 |
| 203 | MOLSKI et al vs. GEORIS WINERY et al | 5:2004cv02118-HRL | 05/28/04 |
| 204 | MOLSKI et al vs. HELLER ESTATES et al | 5:2004cv02164-PVT | 06/02/04 |
| 205 | MOLSKI et al vs. BERNARDUS et al | 5:2004cv02165-HRL | 06/02/04 |
| 206 | DORAN et al vs. STAR MOTEL et al | 3:2001cv02203-EDL | 06/06/01 |
| 207 | MOLSKI et al vs. GILROY BOWL et al | 5:2004cv02222-JW | 06/07/04 |
| 208 | MOLSKI et al vs. RUNNING IRON BAR & RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv02223-RMW | 06/07/04 |
| 209 | MOLSKI et al vs. TARPY'S ROADHOUSE RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv02224-RS | 06/07/04 |
| 210 | MOLSKI et al vs. COPPER-GARROD ESTATE VINEYARDS et al | 5:2004cv02226-PVT | 06/07/04 |
| 211 | PICKERN et al vs. VILLA INN et al | 3:2001cv02235-JL | 06/07/01 |
| 212 | MOLSKI et al vs. SYCAMORE CREEK VINEYARDS | 5:2004cv02254-JW | 06/08/04 |
| 213 | PICKERN et al vs. SURF MOTEL et al | 4:2001cv02255-CW | 06/08/01 |
| 214 | MOLSKI et al vs. TINY'S RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv02416-RMW | 06/18/04 |
| 215 | MOLSKI et al vs. ROUND TABLE PIZZA SANTA CRUZ et al | 5:2004cv02438-JF | 06/21/04 |
| 216 | MOLSKI et al vs. SANTA CRUZ DINER et al | 5:2004cv02439-JW | 06/21/04 |
| 217 | MOLSKI et al vs. THAI VILLAGE RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv02465-JW | 06/21/04 |
| 218 | MOLSKI et al vs. WHITE OAKS PLAZA | 5:2004cv02466-RS | 06/21/04 |
| 219 | MOLSKI et al vs. SUNRISE CAFÉ et al | 5:2004cv02471-JW | 06/22/04 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 220 | CONNALLY et al vs. AKIRA SUSHI et al | 5:2004cv02612-RMW | 06/29/04 |
| 221 | LOSKOT et al vs. DOLLAR INN PETALUMA et al | 3:2004cv02845-TEH | 07/14/04 |
| 222 | LOSKOT et al vs. HOLIDAY INN WALNUT CREEK et al | 3:2004cv02848-VRW | 07/14/04 |
| 223 | LOSKOT et al vs. GOLDEN HORSE RESTAURANT et al | 3:2004cv02917-CRB | 07/19/04 |
| 224 | CONNALLY et al vs. RED BOY PIZZA et al | 3:2004cv02919-SI | 07/19/04 |
| 225 | CONNALLY et al vs. FRANK'S PIER 15 et al | 3:2004cv02920-VRW | 07/19/04 |
| 226 | CONNALLY et al vs. PEKING PALACE et al | 3:2004cv02921-MEJ | 07/19/04 |
| 227 | CONNALLY et al vs. THAI et al | 3:2004cv03156-TEH | 08/03/04 |
| 228 | CONNALLY et al vs. CENTO STELLE et al | 3:2004cv03170-JSW | 08/03/04 |
| 229 | CONNALLY et al vs. MARIN EXPRESS LUBE & DIAGNOSTIC CENTER AND PETER'S BEACON et al | 3:2004cv03479-JCS | 08/20/04 |
| 230 | CONNALLY et al vs. HOUSE OF LEE CHINESE RESTAURANT et al | 3:2004cv03651-JL | 08/27/04 |
| 231 | CONNALLY et al vs. EDUARDO'S RESTAURANT et al | 3:2004cv03914-BZ | 09/16/04 |
| 232 | LOSKOT et al vs. DIABLO MAZDA SUBARU et al | 4:2004cv03986-CW | 09/21/04 |
| 233 | CONNALLY et al vs. PACKAGING STORE et al | 4:2004cv04075-SBA | 09/27/04 |
| 234 | YATES et al vs. ASSOCIATED MAIN STREET PARTNERS et al | 3:2004cv04308-BZ | 10/12/04 |
| 235 | MOLSKI et al vs. CASANOVA RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv04325-HRL | 10/14/04 |
| 236 | LOSKOT et al vs. ROYAL THAI et al | 3:2004cv04355-EDL | 10/14/04 |
| 237 | MOLSKI et al vs. JACK LONDON'S BAR & GRILL et al | 5:2004cv04451-RMW | 10/21/04 |
| 238 | LOSKOT et al vs. SAN FRANCISCO CENTRAL TRAVELODGE et al | 3:2004cv04485-VRW | 10/22/04 |
| 239 | MOLSKI et al vs. FRIAR TUCK'S RESTAURANT et al | 5:2004cv04488-HRL | 10/22/04 |
| 240 | MOLSKI et al vs. CARMEL BAKERY | 5:2004cv04590-PVT | 10/29/04 |
| 241 | MOLSKI et al vs. A.W. SHUCKS et al | 5:2004cv04646-JF | 11/02/04 |
| 242 | LOSKOT et al vs. WASHINGTON SQUARE BAR & GRILL et al | 3:2004cv04656-MJJ | 11/03/04 |
| 243 | MOLSKI et al vs. ROBATA GRILL & SAKE BAR et al | 5:2004cv04679-HRL | 11/03/04 |
| 244 | MOLSKI et al vs. LUGANO SWISS BISTRO et al | 5:2004cv04713-RS | 11/08/04 |
| 245 | CONNALLY et al vs. DRAGON CAFÉ et al | 3:2004cv04739-BZ | 11/09/04 |
| 246 | MOSS et al vs. IZZY'S STEAK & CHOP HOUSE et al | 3:2004cv04970-MMC | 11/23/04 |
| 247 | LOSKOT et al vs. HYDE OUT et al | 3:2004cv04988-PJH | 11/24/04 |
| 248 | WILSON et al vs. MARIE CALLENDER'S | 3:2004cv04989-PJH | 11/24/04 |
| 249 | CONNALLY et al vs. PELICAN INN ASSOCIATES | 3:2004cv05058-JL | 11/30/04 |
| 250 | YATES et al vs. NICOLAI BUILDING et al | 3:2004cv05087-JCS | 12/01/04 |
| 251 | CONNALLU et al vs. MARIN CLEANERS et al | 3:2004cv05088-CRB | 12/01/04 |
| 252 | LOSKOT et al vs. TAQUERIA BAHIA: 1200 4TH STREET | 3:2004cv05210-TEH | 12/09/04 |
| 253 | YATES et al vs. HELLER'S FOR CHILDREN et al | 3:2004cv05211-PJH | 12/09/04 |
| 254 | ARCHULETA et al vs. JACK'S CLUB et al | 3:2004cv05318-PJH | 12/15/04 |
| 255 | LOSKOT et al vs. DAYS INN SAN RAFAEL et al | 4:2004cv05457-WDB | 12/27/04 |
| 256 | LOSKOT et al vs. LA BARCA et al | 3:2005cv00454-JL | 01/31/05 |
| 257 | MOSS et al vs. ALL STAR DOUGHNUTS et al | 4:2005cv01877-CW | 05/06/05 |
| 258 | YATES et al vs. WOODSIDE OFFICE CENTER et al | 3:2005cv03610-JL | 09/07/05 |
| 259 | JANKEY et al vs. TED'S SPORT BAR & GRILL et al | 3:2006cv03289-JCS | 05/18/06 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 260 | JANKEY et al vs. HERITAGE MARINA HOTEL et al | 3:2006cv03423-CRB | 05/25/06 |
| 261 | CONNALLY et al vs. FRANK'S FREEZE et al | 3:2005cv02239-JCS | 06/02/05 |
| 262 | LOSKOT et al vs. INTERNATIONAL INN | 3:2006cv05923-JL | 09/25/06 |
| 263 | PIAZZA et al vs. FLAMES COFFEE SHOP et al | 3:2006cv05920-PJH | 09/25/06 |
| 264 | JANKEY et al vs. TIA MARGARITA et al | 3:2006cv06156-PJH | 10/02/06 |
| 265 | CONNALLY et al vs. SOL FOOD et al | 3:2006cv06577-WHA | 10/20/06 |
| 266 | LOSKOT et al vs. LA QUINTA INN OAKLAND AIRPORT et al | 3:2006cv07525-MHP | 12/07/06 |
| 267 | YATES et al vs. NAPA VALLEY CASINO et al | 3:2006cv07917-MMC | 12/27/06 |
| 268 | YATES et al vs. PETCO ANIMAL SUPPLIES STORES et al | 3:2007cv00460-WHA | 01/23/07 |
| 269 | YATES et al vs. CELIA'S RESTAURANTS et al | 3:2007cv00946-CRB | 02/14/07 |
| 270 | CONNALLY et al vs. ROXIE FOOD & COMMERCIAL CENTER et al | 3:2007cv00947-TEH | 02/14/07 |
| 271 | CONNALLY et al vs. USA SMOG & GASOLINE et al | 3:2007cv01250-WHA | 03/02/07 |
| 272 | YATES et al vs. NEW TIN'S MARKET et al | 3:2007cv01403-MHP | 03/09/07 |
| 273 | YATES et al vs. BELLI DELI et al | 3:2007cv01405-WHA | 03/09/07 |
| 274 | LOSKOT et al vs. UNIVERSITY INN et al | 4:2007cv01537-CW | 03/16/07 |
| 275 | YATES et al vs. THE CHEESE STEAK SHOP et al | 3:2007cv01566-PJH | 03/19/07 |
| 276 | YATES et al vs. FOSTER FREEZE BERKELEY et al | 3:2007cv02100-JSW | 04/13/07 |
| 277 | CONNALLY et al vs. PHYLLIS'S GIANT BURGER et al | 3:2007cv02410-SI | 05/03/07 |
| 278 | YATES et al vs. D & A CAFÉ et al | 3:2007cv02525-MMC | 05/11/07 |
| 279 | HEATHERLY et al vs. TALMADGE WOOD et al | 3:2007cv02656-JL | 05/18/07 |
| 280 | YATES et al vs. WINTER PROPERTIES et al | 3:2007cv02657-JL | 05/18/07 |
| 281 | YATES et al vs. EL SOMBRERO et al | 3:2007cv03033-EDL | 06/12/07 |
| 282 | YATES et al vs. BIMBO BAKERIES USA et al | 3:2007cv03326-MMC | 06/25/07 |
| 283 | YATES et al vs. LOTUS CUISINE OF INDIA et al | 4:2007cv03889-WDB | 07/30/07 |
| 284 | RAMIREZ et al vs. FIRCREST MARKET et al | 3:2007cv03890-MEJ | 07/31/07 |
| 285 | YATES et al vs. DISCOUNT ALLEY et al | 3:2007cv04177-EDL | 08/14/07 |
| 286 | YATES et al vs. RED'S RECOVERY ROOM et al | 3:2007cv04395-JCS | 08/24/07 |
| 287 | RAMIREZ et al vs. UNION HOTEL et al | 3:2007cv04396-MEJ | 08/24/07 |
| 288 | RAMIREZ et al vs. OMELETTE EXPRESS et al | 3:2007cv04463-JCS | 08/28/07 |
| 289 | MOSS et al vs. MOLTE COSE et al | 3:2007cv04813-JSW | 09/19/07 |
| 290 | YATES et al vs. N-O-H-R PLAZA et al | 3:2007cv05485-MMC | 10/26/07 |
| 291 | YATES et al vs. LEVIN COMMERCIAL FACILITY et al | 3:2007cv06498-WHA | 12/28/07 |
| 292 | YATES et al vs. UNICORN PAN ASIAN CUISINE et al | 3:2008cv00356-JSW | 01/18/08 |
| 293 | YATES et al vs. BURGER KING # 3157 et al | 3:2008cv00737-JCS | 01/31/08 |
| 294 | RAMIREZ et al vs. MARTHA'S OLD MEXICO et al | 3:2008cv01403-MEJ | 03/12/08 |
| 295 | JANKEY et al vs. KING OF THAI NOODLE HOUSE et al | 3:2008cv01876-CRB | 04/08/08 |
| 296 | YATES et al vs. KING OF THAI NOODLE # 2 et al | 3:2008cv01877-WHA | 04/08/08 |
| 297 | YATES et al vs. SWEET DELIGHT et al | 3:2008cv01958-JCS | 04/14/08 |
| 298 | JANKEY et al vs. GEARY STREET BELLA PIZZA et al | 3:2008cv02164-JL | 04/25/08 |
| 299 | YATES et al vs. TWICE IS NICE et al | 3:2008cv02165-SI | 04/25/08 |
| 300 | YATES et al vs. ZEPHYR CAFÉ et al | 3:2008cv02293-PJH | 05/02/08 |
| 301 | YATES et al vs. SUSHI BISTRO et al | 4:2008cv02545-CW | 05/20/08 |
| 302 | JANKEY et al vs. FIVE HAPPINESS RESTAURANT et al | 3:2008cv02733-MMC | 05/30/08 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 303 | YATES et al vs. SYERS PROPERTIES et al | 3:2008cv02734-SI | 05/30/08 |
| 304 | YATES et al vs. BENJARONG THAI CUISINE et al | 3:2008cv02932-JL | 06/12/08 |
| 305 | JANKEY et al vs. RED JACK SALOON et al | 3:2008cv02978-JCS | 06/16/08 |
| 306 | YATES et al vs. FRANKLIN ARDEN LLC | 3:2008cv03004-EDL | 06/18/08 |
| 307 | YATES et al vs. HAPPY DRAGON et al | 3:2008cv03183-BZ | 07/02/08 |
| 308 | YATES et al vs. TEQUILA GRILL et al | 4:2008cv03914-CW | 08/15/08 |
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| | <u>Name</u> | <u>Case No.</u> | <u>Filing Date</u> |
| 309 | PELLECER et al vs. LAZARO WISNIA | 2:2000cv11564-RJK | 10/30/00 |
| 310 | CRAIG et al vs. GUESTHOUSE HOTEL et al | 2:2001cv04019-SVW | 05/02/01 |
| 311 | ELLIS et al vs. LOS ROBLES REGIONAL et al | 2:2001cv08178-RJK | 09/20/01 |
| 312 | BAILEY HARMON et al vs. CAPTAIN JACK'S et al | 8:2002cv00171-DOC | 02/20/02 |
| 313 | ELLIS et al vs. ARBY'S THOUSAND OAKS et al | 2:2002cv04211-CAS | 05/28/02 |
| 314 | ELLIS et al vs. JACK'S DELI | 2:2002cv08837-DDP | 11/18/02 |
| 315 | ELLIS et al vs. HERITAGE PLAZA et al | 2:2002cv08838-PA | 11/18/02 |
| 316 | JANKEY et al vs. BARONES et al | 2:2002cv06751-GAF | 08/28/02 |
| 317 | ELLIS et al vs. MANNY'S ORIGINAL et al | 2:2003cv00029-SVW | 01/02/03 |
| 318 | MOLSKI et al vs. SIERRA RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv01400-RGK | 02/27/03 |
| 319 | MOLSKI et al vs. YANG CHOW RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv01433-NM | 02/28/03 |
| 320 | MOLSKI et al vs. MISSION BURRITO et al | 2:2003cv01801-SVW | 03/13/03 |
| 321 | MOLSKI et al vs. ROSCOE CENTER et al | 2:2003cv01841-R | 03/14/03 |
| 322 | ELLIS et al vs. LE RENDEZ-VOUS et al | 2:2003cv02069-DSF | 03/24/03 |
| 323 | JANKEY et al vs. YANG CHOW RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv02239-ER | 03/31/03 |
| 324 | MOLSKI et al vs. EDOKKO JAPANESE et al | 2:2003cv02240-JSL | 03/31/03 |
| 325 | ELLIS et al vs. HAMSHIRE RETAIL et al | 2:2003cv02316-MMM | 04/02/03 |
| 326 | JANKEY et al vs. SIZZLER'S HOLLYWOOD et al | 2:2003cv02445-LGB | 04/07/03 |
| 327 | JANKEY et al vs. OAKS CENTER PROP | 2:2003cv02561-SVW | 04/10/03 |
| 328 | MOLSKI et al vs. CANOGA PARK BOWL et al | 2:2003cv02656-GAF | 04/15/03 |
| 329 | JANKEY et al vs. DENNY'S SEPULVEDA et al | 2:2003cv02793-SJO | 04/21/03 |
| 330 | MOLSKI et al vs. ROSCOE CENTER et al | 8:2003cv00692-CJC | 05/12/03 |
| 331 | MOLSKI et al vs. SIERRA RESTAURANT et al | 8:2003cv00478-JVS | 05/05/03 |
| 332 | ELLIS et al vs. HERITAGE PLAZA et al | 8:2003cv00673-CJC | 05/12/03 |
| 333 | MOLSKI et al vs. N & N CHINESE RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv03442-MMM | 05/15/03 |
| 334 | MOLSKI et al vs. MISSION BURRITO et al | 8:2003cv00754-JVS | 05/16/03 |
| 335 | JANKEY et al vs. BARONES et al | 8:2003cv00868-CJC | 05/28/03 |
| 336 | MOLSKI et al vs. JEWEL CITY BOWL et al | 5:2003cv00620-VAP | 06/02/03 |
| 337 | MOLSKI et al vs. CHRISS & PITTS BBQ et al | 2:2003cv04467-DDP | 06/23/03 |
| 338 | MOLSKI et al vs. CHRISS & PITTS BBQ VN et al | 2:2003cv04469-DDP | 06/23/03 |
| 339 | MOLSKI et al vs. VITTORIOS ITALIAN et al | 2:2003cv04808-CBM | 07/07/03 |
| 340 | MOLSKI et al vs. CABLE'S RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv04809-GW | 07/07/03 |
| 341 | MOSS et al vs. HOLLYWOOD DAYS INN et al | 2:2003cv04957-MMM | 07/11/03 |
| 342 | MOLSKI et al vs. BEAR PIT RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv05070-RSWL | 07/16/03 |
| 343 | MOLSKI et al vs. VALENCIA LANES et al | 2:2003cv05455-R | 07/30/03 |
| 344 | MOLSKI et al vs. HARLEY'S SIMI BOWL et al | 2:2003cv05456-ABC | 07/30/03 |
| 345 | MOLSKI et al vs. JAVA LANES et al | 2:2003cv05457-MMM | 07/30/03 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 346 | MOLSKI et al vs. ALHAMBRA BOWLING Ctr et al | 2:2003cv05458-GAF | 07/30/03 |
| 347 | MOLSKI et al vs. EMPIRE BOWL et al | 2:2003cv05460-GAF | 07/30/03 |
| 348 | MOLSKI et al vs. BRUNSWICK COVINA et al | 2:2003cv05461-GAF | 07/30/03 |
| 349 | MOLSKI et al vs. PREMIER LANES et al | 2:2003cv05462-RSWL | 07/30/03 |
| 350 | MOLSKI et al vs. DEL RIO LANES et al | 2:2003cv05463-CAS | 07/30/03 |
| 351 | MOLSKI et al vs. AMF BOWLING CENTERS et al | 2:2003cv05464-PA | 07/30/03 |
| 352 | MOLSKI et al vs. TAVA LANES BOWL et al | 2:2003cv05504-JWJ | 07/31/03 |
| 353 | MOLSKI et al vs. SUMMERWOOD WINERY et al | 2:2003cv05875-AHM | 08/18/03 |
| 354 | MOLSKI et al vs. CASTORO CELLARS et al | 2:2003cv05879-NM | 08/18/03 |
| 355 | MOLSKI et al vs. EOS ESTATE WINERY et al | 2:2003cv05880-GAF | 08/18/03 |
| 356 | MOLSKI et al vs. MERIDIAN VINEYARDS et al | 2:2003cv06056-TJH | 08/25/03 |
| 357 | MOLSKI et al vs. CHUMELA VINEYARDS et al | 2:2003CV06062-CBM | 08/25/03 |
| 358 | MOLSKI et al vs. DiCARLO VINEYARD et al | 2:2003cv06091-DT | 08/26/03 |
| 359 | MOLSKI et al vs. LINNE CALODO CELLARS et al | 2:2003cv06233-FMC | 08/29/03 |
| 360 | MOLSKI et al vs. CHATEAU MARGENE et al | 2:2003cv06261-R | 09/02/03 |
| 361 | MOLSKI et al vs. HUNT CELLARS et al | 2:2003cv06262-RGK | 09/02/03 |
| 362 | MOLSKI et al vs. PENMAN SPRING VINE et al | 2:2003cv06263-SVW | 09/02/03 |
| 363 | MOLSKI et al vs. BELLA LUNA WINERY et al | 2:2003cv06264-GHK | 09/02/03 |
| 364 | MOLSKI et al vs. PEACHY CANYON CELLARS et al | 2:2003cv06266-TJH | 09/02/03 |
| 365 | MOLSKI et al vs. EBERLE WINERY et al | 2:2003cv06361-TJH | 09/05/03 |
| 366 | MOLSKI et al vs. MASTANTUONO INC. et al | 2:2003cv06536-SVW | 09/11/03 |
| 367 | MOLSKI et al vs. WILD HORSE WINERY et al | 2:2003cv06593-SVW | 09/12/03 |
| 368 | MOLSKI et al vs. HARMONY CELLARS et al | 2:2003cv06595-RJK | 09/12/03 |
| 369 | MOLSKI et al vs. JANKRIS VINEYARDS et al | 2:2003cv06623-CAS | 09/15/03 |
| 370 | MOLSKI et al vs. TGIF's TORRANCE RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv06699-CAS | 09/17/03 |
| 371 | MOLSKI et al vs. GREY WOLF WINEYARDS et al | 2:2003cv06765-GAF | 09/19/03 |
| 372 | MOLSKI et al vs. DARK STAR CELLARS et al | 2:2003cv06766-DT | 09/19/03 |
| 373 | MOLSKI et al vs. TURLEY WINE CELLARS et al | 2:2003cv06821-RSWL | 09/22/03 |
| 374 | MOLSKI et al vs. ARBY'S VENTURA et al | 2:2003cv06891-FMC | 09/24/03 |
| 375 | MOLSKI et al vs. PRETTY-SMITH ENT et al | 2:2003cv06935-DDP | 09/25/03 |
| 376 | MOLSKI et al vs. HARRY'S FAMILY RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv07502-WJR | 10/20/03 |
| 377 | MOLSKI et al vs. ACAPULCO RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv07505-RSWL | 10/20/03 |
| 378 | MOLSKI et al vs. COCO'S et al | 2:2003cv08061-GPS | 11/06/03 |
| 379 | MOLSKI et al vs. SADDLEBACK LANES et al | 8:2003cv01598-JVS | 11/07/03 |
| 380 | JANKEY et al vs. A-LA DRIVERS ED CTR et al | 2:2003cv08186-GHK | 11/07/03 |
| 381 | MOLSKI et al vs. SIZZLER NORTHRIDGE et al | 2:2003cv08189-CAS | 11/10/03 |
| 382 | MOLSKI et al vs. LA HABRA 300 BOWL et al | 2:2003cv08324-LGB | 11/14/03 |
| 383 | MOLSKI et al vs. CHINA EXPRESS et al | 2:2003cv08413-TJH | 11/19/03 |
| 384 | MOLSKI et al vs. EL CHAPARRAL et al | 2:2003cv08536-SJO | 11/24/03 |
| 385 | MOLSKI et al vs. EL QUESO GRANDE et al | 2:2003cv08537-RSWL | 11/24/03 |
| 386 | JANKEY et al vs. CLARE FOUNDATION INC. et al | 2:2003cv08583-SJO | 11/25/03 |
| 387 | MOLSKI et al v PRESIDENTE MEXICAN et al | 2:2003cv08839-WMB | 12/04/03 |
| 388 | MOLSKI et al v JUSTIN VINEYARDS et al | 2:2003cv08887-GHK | 12/05/03 |
| 389 | MOLSKI et al v YORK MOUNTAIN WINERY et al | 2:2003cv08889-DT | 12/05/03 |
| 390 | JANKEY et al v. SAFETY EDUCATION CTR et al | 2:2003cv09034-DT | 12/11/03 |
| 391 | MOLSKI et al v FIRESTONE VINEYARD et al | 2:2003cv09035-RGK | 12/11/03 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 392 | MOLSKI et al v DANIEL GEHRS HEATHER et al | 2:2003cv09133-LGB | 12/15/03 |
| 393 | MOLSKI et al v LA FIESTA MEXICAN et al | 2:2003cv09134-GAF | 12/15/03 |
| 394 | MOLSKI et al v SYLVESTER WINERY L P et al | 2:2003cv09339-RSWL | 12/19/03 |
| 395 | MOLSKI et al v TODAI RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv09346-RGK | 12/19/03 |
| 396 | MOLSKI et al v GAINEY VINEYARD et al | 2:2003cv09349-JFW | 12/19/03 |
| 397 | MOLSKI et al v FOLEY ESTATES et al | 2:2003cv09393-CVM | 12/22/03 |
| 398 | MOLSKI et al v CONRAD'S LA CANADA et al | 2:2003cv09462-TJH | 12/24/03 |
| 399 | MOLSKI et al v LOS OLIVOS CAFÉ et al | 2:2003cv09463-MMM | 02/24/03 |
| 400 | MOLSKI et al v BRANDER VINEYARDS et al | 2:2003cv09494-AHM | 12/26/03 |
| 401 | MOLSKI et al v DONA MARIA MEXICAN et al | 2:2003cv09495-LGB | 12/26/03 |
| 402 | MOLSKI et al v PRESIDENTE MEXICAN RESTAURANT NORTHBRIDGE et al | 2:2004cv00090-GAF | 01/07/04 |
| 403 | MOLSKI et al v CASA DEL REY SAN DIMAS et al | 2:2004cv00205-FMC | 01/13/04 |
| 404 | MOLSKI et al v KAHN WINERY and AK CELLARS LLC et al | 2:2004cv00347-ER | 01/20/04 |
| 405 | MOLSKI et al v MANDARIN TOUCH RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv00450-ER | 01/23/04 |
| 406 | MOLSKI et al v KALYRA WINERY LLC et al | 2:2004cv00452-ER | 01/23/04 |
| 407 | MOLSKI et al v KENNETH WILKINSON et al | 8:2004cv00083-CJC | 01/26/04 |
| 408 | MOLSKI et al v GARDENA BOWLING CENTER, INC. et al | 8:2004cv00092-CJC | 01/27/04 |
| 409 | MOLSKI et al v CHO CHO SAN RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv00569-RGK | 01/27/04 |
| 410 | MOLSKI et al v. CASA DEL REY TEMPLE CITY et al | 2:2004cv00570-R | 01/27/04 |
| 411 | MOLSKI et al v CROCODILE CAFÉ GLENDALE et al | 2:2004cv00610-SJO | 01/28/04 |
| 412 | MOLSKI et al v. CONCOURSE ENTERTAINMENT CENTER et al | 8:2004cv00096-CJC | 01/28/04 |
| 413 | MOLSKI et al v. AW FOODS MARKETING INC et al | 2:2004cv00787-ABC | 02/05/04 |
| 414 | MOLSKI et al v. KOEHLER WINERY et al | 2:2004cv00788-AHM | 02/05/04 |
| 415 | MOLSKI et al v. FESS PARKER WINERY et al | 2:2004cv00838-R | 02/06/04 |
| 416 | MOLSKI et al v. CASA DEL REY ARCADIA et al | 2:2004cv00960-SJO | 02/12/04 |
| 417 | MOLSKI et al v. HOT SPOT BAR AND GRILL et al | 2:2004cv00974-DDP | 02/12/04 |
| 418 | MOLSKI et al v. LA FOND WINERY et al | 2:2004cv01044-WMB | 02/17/04 |
| 419 | MOLSKI et al v. SOMETHING'S FISHY et al | 2:2004cv01047-PA | 02/17/04 |
| 420 | MOLSKI et al v. HITCHING POST II RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv01071-PA | 02/17/04 |
| 421 | MOLSKI et al v. CONRAD'S RESTAURANT GLENDALE et al | 2:2004cv01075-ABC | 02/17/04 |
| 422 | MOLSKI et al v. MOSBY WINERY et al | 2:2004cv01076-NM | 02/17/04 |
| 423 | MOLSKI et al v. HITCHING POST I RESTAURANT INC et al | 2:2004cv01077-SVW | 02/17/04 |
| 424 | MOLSKI et al v. LAMPLIGHTER NORTH HOLLYWOOD RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv01098-RGK | 02/18/04 |
| 425 | MOLSKI et al v. LAMPLIGHTER CHATSWORTH PSG INC et al | 2:2004cv01101-GHK | 02/18/04 |
| 426 | MOLSKI et al v. SANFORD WINERY and VINEYARDS et al | 2:2004cv01263-NM | 02/25/04 |
| 427 | MOLSKI et al v. LAMPLIGHTER SHERMAN OAKS RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv01268-TJH | 02/25/04 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 428 | MOLSKI et al v. RANCHO SISQUOC et al | 2:2004cv01360-RSWL | 02/27/04 |
| 429 | MOLSKI et al v. MI CASITA SALVADORENA RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv01361-R | 02/27/04 |
| 430 | MOLSKI et al v. CAMBRIA WINERY et al | 2:2004cv01362-GHK | 02/27/04 |
| 431 | MOLSKI et al v. CRAZY TOKYO SUSHI et al | 2:2004cv01364-SJO | 02/27/04 |
| 432 | MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #7 INC et al | 2:2004cv01366-NM | 02/27/04 |
| 433 | MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #4 RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv01394-R | 03/01/04 |
| 434 | MOLSKI et al v. ZACA MESA WINERY et al | 2:2004cv01395-RSWL | 03/01/04 |
| 435 | MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #2 RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv01412-DDP | 03/02/04 |
| 436 | MOLSKI et al v. CASA DI PIZZA et al | 2:2004cv01552-GPS | 03/08/04 |
| 437 | MOLSKI et al v. ENCINO INVESTORS BUILDING et al | 2:2004cv01869-SVW | 03/18/04 |
| 438 | MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #5 et al | 2:2004cv01875-GAF | 03/18/04 |
| 439 | MOLSKI et al v. EL PESCADOR #8 et al | 2:2004cv01930-ABC | 03/19/04 |
| 440 | MOLSKI et al v. NEW LIGHT AND HEALTHY JAPANESE RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv01931-R | 03/19/04 |
| 441 | MOLSKI et al v. SEAFARE INN et al | 5:2004cv00328-VAP | 03/19/04 |
| 442 | MOLSKI et al v. SUSHI MARINA et al | 2:2004cv01958-R | 03/22/04 |
| 443 | MOLSKI et al v. VALLEY RANCH BBQ et al | 2:2004cv02150-GAF | 03/29/04 |
| 444 | MOLSKI et al v. LA FIESTA GRANDE et al | 2:2004cv02350-RSWL | 04/02/04 |
| 445 | MOLSKI et al v. CANDILEJAS RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv02351-JSL | 04/02/04 |
| 446 | MOLSKI et al v. DOMAIN ALFRED et al | 2:2004cv02352-JSL | 04/02/04 |
| 447 | MOLSKI et al v. FEDERAL EXPRESS CORP et al | 2:2004cv02488-RSWL | 04/08/04 |
| 448 | MOLSKI et al v. SPYGLASS INVESTMENT COMPANY et al | 2:2004cv02610-JSL | 04/14/04 |
| 449 | MOLSKI et al v. SZECHUWAN GARDEN et al | 2:2004cv02723-LGB | 04/19/04 |
| 450 | MOLSKI et al v. CLAIBORNE AND CHURCHILL et al | 2:2004cv02889-DSF | 04/26/04 |
| 451 | MOLSKI et al v. KYNSI WINES INC | 2:2004cv02890-CJC | 04/26/04 |
| 452 | MOLSKI et al v. LONGHOUSE RESTAURANT INC et al | 2:2004cv03121-MMM | 05/03/04 |
| 453 | MOLSKI et al v. DI FRONZO PROPERTIES LLC | 2:2004cv03122-RGK | 05/03/04 |
| 454 | MOLSKI et al v. HONG KONG INN INC et al | 2:2004cv03249-DSF | 05/07/04 |
| 455 | MOLSKI et al v. SENOR SANCHOS-SPRING et al | 2:2004cv03250-JFW | 05/07/04 |
| 456 | MOLSKI et al v. PERKOS PISMO BEACH et al | 2:2004cv03332-PA | 05/11/04 |
| 457 | MOLSKI et al v. CRACKED CRAB RESTAURANTS et al | 2:2004cv03544-RGK | 05/19/04 |
| 458 | MOLSKI et al v. HOOVERS ENTERPRISES INC et al | 2:2004cv03598-DT | 05/20/04 |
| 459 | MOLSKI et al v. WILSONS LANES et al | 2:2004cv03599-ABC | 05/20/04 |
| 460 | MOLSKI et al v. BILL AND CAROLS SEA SHANTY et al | 2:2004cv03696-WJR | 05/25/04 |
| 461 | MOLSKI et al v. PACOS et al | 2:2004cv03697-DSF | 05/25/04 |
| 462 | MOLSKI et al v. 21ST STREET DRIVE-IN et al | 2:2004cv03743-PA | 05/26/04 |
| 463 | MOLSKI et al v. AHEDOS RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv03746-SVW | 05/26/04 |
| 464 | MOLSKI et al v. PIERSIDE INC et al | 2:2004cv03747-MMM | 05/26/04 |
| 465 | MOLSKI et al v. FOSTER FREEZE PASO ROBLES et al | 2:2004cv03780-DDP | 05/27/04 |
| 466 | MOLSKI et al v. GIRLS RESTAURANT INC et al | 2:2004cv03791-CBM | 05/27/04 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|---|-------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 467 | MOLSKI et al v. F. MCLINTOCKS SALOON AND DINING HOUSE et al | 2:2004cv03828-AHM | 05/28/04 |
| 468 | MOLSKI et al v. AJ SPURS TEMPLETON et al | 2:2004cv03829-GPS | 05/28/04 |
| 469 | MOLSKI et al v. AJ SPURS INC et al | 2:2004cv03929-GAF | 06/02/04 |
| 470 | MOLSKI et al v. HARBOR HUT INC et al | 2:2004cv04059-CBM | 06/07/04 |
| 471 | MOLSKI et al v. OUTRIGGER et al | 2:2004cv04061-JSL | 06/07/04 |
| 472 | MOLSKI et al v. WHALES TAIL RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv04062-JFW | 06/07/04 |
| 473 | MOLSKI et al v. FOSTER FREEZE MORRO BAY et al | 2:2004cv04240-RGK | 06/14/04 |
| 474 | MOLSKI et al v. FOSTER FREEZE SAN LUIS OBISPO et al | 2:2004cv04241-ER | 06/14/04 |
| 475 | MOLSKI et al v. GREAT AMERICAN FISH CO INC. et al | 2:2004cv04242-TJH | 06/14/04 |
| 476 | MOLSKI et al v. JBJ ROUND UP PIZZA et al | 2:2004cv04243-FMC | 06/14/04 |
| 477 | MOLSKI et al v. CAMBRIA CAFÉ et al | 2:2004cv04283-JFW | 06/15/04 |
| 478 | MOLSKI et al v. SEA CHEST et al | 2:2004cv04284-PA | 06/15/04 |
| 479 | MOLSKI et al v. THE GALLEY et al | 2:2004cv04428-AHM | 06/18/04 |
| 480 | MOLSKI et al v. Q BARGAIN et al | 2:2004cv04429-JFW | 06/18/04 |
| 481 | MOLSKI et al v. M WILLIAMS STRIP MALL et al | 2:2004cv04430-TJH | 06/18/04 |
| 482 | MOLSKI et al v. FISHBOWL RESTAURANT LLC | 2:2004cv04497-TJH | 06/22/04 |
| 483 | MOLSKI et al v. PINE STREET PLAZA et al | 2:2004cv04498-GAF | 06/22/04 |
| 484 | MOLSKI et al v. BUSIS RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv04610-NM | 06/24/04 |
| 485 | MOLSKI et al v. COFFEE POT RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv04611-JWJ | 06/24/04 |
| 486 | HARRIS et al v. MISTER T'S FAMILY RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv04650-DT | 06/25/04 |
| 487 | MOLSKI et al v. MARGIES DINER-MORRO BAY et al | 2:2004cv04684-RNB | 06/28/04 |
| 488 | MOLSKI et al v. HUNGRY FISHERMAN RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv04685-SVW | 06/28/04 |
| 489 | MOLSKI et al v. CHEVRON-MORRO BAY et al | 2:2004cv04696-MMM | 06/28/04 |
| 490 | MOLSKI et al v. CHINA BOWL RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv04697-DT | 06/28/04 |
| 491 | MOLSKI et al v. LA HACIENDA et al | 2:2004cv04739-GHK | 06/29/04 |
| 492 | MOLSKI et al v. CARLAS COUNTRY KITCHEN et al | 2:2004cv04822-NM | 07/01/04 |
| 493 | JANKEY et al v. BAKERS SQUARE ALHAMBRA et al | 2:2004cv04826-SJO | 07/01/04 |
| 494 | MOLSKI et al v. BUSY BEE CAFÉ et al | 2:2004cv04865-PA | 07/02/04 |
| 495 | MOLSKI et al v. THAI PALACE CORPORATION et al | 2:2004cv04866-MMM | 07/02/04 |
| 496 | MOLSKI et al v. MCCARTHY'S IRISH PUB et al | 2:2004cv04867-ABC | 07/02/04 |
| 497 | MOLSKI et al v. TIO ALBERTOS-LOS OSOS et al | 2:2004cv04870-DT | 07/02/04 |
| 498 | MOLSKI et al v. PIZZA PORT et al | 2:2004cv04873-MMM | 07/02/04 |
| 499 | MOLSKI et al v. TACO DE MEXICO et al | 2:2004cv04874-JFW | 07/02/04 |
| 500 | MOLSKI et al v. MAYA RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv05453-JFW | 07/14/04 |
| 501 | CONNALLY et al v. HOUSE OF LEE CHINESE RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv06385-SVW | 08/02/04 |
| 502 | JANKEY et al v. MILLIES INC et al | 2:2004cv06389-TJH | 08/02/04 |
| 503 | JANKEY et al v. FAUSTINO'S ITALIAN KITCHEN et al | 2:2005cv05946-DDP | 08/15/05 |
| 504 | JANKEY et al v. COMMERCIAL MANAGEMENT CONCEPTS LLC et al | 2:2005cv06730-SJO | 09/13/05 |
| 505 | MOLSKI et al v. BIG BOPPER DRIVE-IN et al | 2:2004cv06885-TJH | 08/17/04 |
| 506 | MOLSKI et al v. BIT O DENMARK et al | 2:2004cv07018-DT | 08/20/04 |
| 507 | MOLSKI et al v. LITTLE MERMAID et al | 8:2004cv01011-JVS | 08/20/04 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|---|--------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 508 | MOLSKI et al v. NEW DANISH INN RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv07051-JSL | 08/23/04 |
| 509 | MOLSKI et al v. COPENHAGEN TS AND GIFTS et al | 2:2004cv07188-SJO | 08/27/04 |
| 510 | MOLSKI et al v. SOLVANG RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv07189-DSF | 08/27/04 |
| 511 | MOLSKI et al v. FIRST STREET BUILDING et al | 2:2004cv07191-WJR | 08/27/04 |
| 512 | MOLSKI et al v. RED VIKING RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv07192-DDP | 08/27/04 |
| 513 | JANKEY et al v. ORANGE DELIGHT et al | 2:2004cv07270-SJO | 08/31/04 |
| 514 | MOLSKI et al v. DANISH MILL BAKERY et al | 2:2004cv07271-MMM | 08/31/04 |
| 515 | DORAN et al v. SANTA NELLA HOTEL et al | 2:2004cv07345-RGK | 09/02/04 |
| 516 | MOLSKI et al v. OLD DANISH FOOD FARM INC. et al | 2:2004cv07346-CBM | 09/02/04 |
| 517 | MOLSKI et al v. BERENGARIA and GERDA'S IRON ART et al | 2:2004cv07347-R | 09/02/04 |
| 518 | MOLSKI et al v. DOS AMIGOS et al | 2:2004cv07417-GHK | 09/07/04 |
| 519 | MOLSKI et al v. MANNY'S MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv07538-DDP | 09/10/04 |
| 520 | MOLSKI et al v. THAI-RRIFIC RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv07539-PA | 09/10/04 |
| 521 | MOLSKI et al v. SOLVANG BAKERY INC. et al | 2:2004cv07541-RSWL | 09/10/04 |
| 522 | MOLSKI et al v. PINE TREE INN et al | 2:2004cv07725-SVW | 09/16/04 |
| 523 | MOLSKI et al v. CAMOZZIS et al | 2:2004cv07765-DSF | 09/17/04 |
| 524 | MOLSKI et al v. HAMLET SQUARE et al | 2:2004cv07797-CBM | 09/20/04 |
| 525 | MOLSKI et al v. CAMBRIA COURTYARD et al | 2:2004cv07799-GAF | 09/20/04 |
| 526 | MOLSKI et al v. REDWOOD CENTER et al | 2:2004cv07831-MMM | 09/21/04 |
| 527 | MOLSKI et al v. JENSENS COPENHAGEN SQUARE et al | 2:2004cv07832-NM | 09/21/04 |
| 528 | MOLSKI et al v. BELGIAN CAFÉ et al | 2:2004cv07862-TJH | 09/22/04 |
| 529 | JANKEY et al v. LOS BURRITOS INC et al | 2:2004cv07864-CBM | 09/22/04 |
| 530 | JANKEY et al v. RANCH HOUSE BBQ and GRILL et al | 2:2004cv07866-ABC | 09/22/04 |
| 531 | JANKEY et al v. MOTHER LODGE et al | 2:2004cv07937-FMC | 09/23/04 |
| 532 | MOSS et al v. COMFORT INN WOODLAND HILLS et al | 2:2004cv07939-FMC | 09/23/04 |
| 533 | JANKEY et al v. LANK and OXN CENTER et al | 2:2004cv07985-RJK | 09/24/04 |
| 534 | MOLSKI et al v. SOLVANG THEATERFEST INC. et al | 2:2004cv07987-CAS | 09/24/04 |
| 535 | MOSS et al v. EXOTIC NATURE et al | 2:2004cv08135-TJH | 09/29/04 |
| 536 | MOSS et al v. NEW MOON et al | 2:2004cv08140-WMB | 09/29/04 |
| 537 | MOSS et al v. VAGABOND INN SOLVANG et al | 2:2004cv08255-TJH | 10/04/04 |
| 538 | MOLSKI et al v. ELLENS DANISH PANCAKE HOUSE et al | 2:2004cv08523-MMM | 10/14/04 |
| 539 | MOLSKI et al v. TONOS MEXICAN RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv08524-GHK | 10/14/04 |
| 540 | MOLSKI et al v. MOTHER HUBBARDS RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv08616-AHM | 10/18/04 |
| 541 | JANKEY et al v. BELMONT RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv08617-MMM | 10/18/04 |
| 542 | JANKEY et al v. BEACH PIZZA et al | 2:2004cv08744-SJO | 10/21/04 |
| 543 | MOLSKI et al v. GINOS PIZZA et al | 2:2004cv08745-MMM | 10/21/04 |
| 544 | JANKEY et al v. EL TACO LOCO-NORTH HOLLYWOOD et al | 2:2004cv08775-GHK | 10/22/04 |
| 545 | JANKEY et al v. HAMBURGER HAVEN et al | 2:2004cv08778-JSL | 10/22/04 |
| 546 | JANKEY et al v. MISTER DS LIQUOR MARKET et al | 2:2004cv09112-GHK | 11/04/04 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 547 | JANKEY et al v. EL CHOLO CAFÉ et al | 8:2004cv01295-JVS | 11/05/04 |
| 548 | JANKEY et al v. MOON'S MARKET et al | 2:2004cv09178-SGL | 11/05/04 |
| 549 | JANKEY et al v. MERMAID RESTAURANT et al | 2:2004cv09267-ER | 11/10/04 |
| 550 | JANKEY et al v. BOTTLE INN et al | 2:2004cv09312-GHK | 11/12/04 |
| 551 | JANKEY et al v. SLOOPYS et al | 2:2004cv09609-GPS | 11/23/04 |
| 552 | JANKEY et al v. POOP DECK et al | 2:2004cv09741-RSWL | 11/30/04 |
| 553 | JANKEY et al v. BEACH MARKET et al | 2:2005cv01288-TJH | 02/18/05 |
| 554 | JANKEY et al v. ALOHA SHARKEEZ et al | 2:2005cv03625-DT | 05/16/05 |
| 555 | JANKEY et al v. DANS LIQUOR et al | 2:2005cv03626-AHM | 05/16/05 |
| 556 | JANKEY et al v. OBS BAR AND GRILL et al | 2:2005cv03842-RGK | 05/25/05 |
| 557 | JANKEY et al v. BEACH HUT et al | 2:2005cv03856-SVW | 05/25/05 |
| 558 | JANKEY et al v. PEDONES PIZZA et al | 2:2005cv03858-SVW | 05/25/05 |
| 559 | MOLSKI et al v. ARBY'S HUNTINGTON BEACH et al | 8:2004cv00038-CJC | 08/12/05 |
| 560 | MOLSKI et al v. PISMO BOWL et al | 2:2004cv02647-R | 11/15/07 |
| | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 561 | ASHLEY et al v. BLACKWELL et al | 2:1999cv01669-FCD | 08/27/99 |
| 562 | PICKERN et al v. EPPIE'S CAPITOL et al | 2:2000cv02231-WBS | 10/12/00 |
| 563 | CONNALLY et al v. EPPIE'S N STREET et al | 2:2000cv02232-WBS | 10/12/00 |
| 564 | LOSKOT et al v. CHICAGO TITLE et al | 2:2000cv02342-LKK | 10/23/00 |
| 565 | DORAN et al v. CHICAGO TITLE RED et al | 2:2000cv02343-WBS | 10/23/00 |
| 566 | LOSKOT et al v. CHICAGO TITLE INS et al | 2:2000cv02355-DFL | 10/24/00 |
| 567 | DORAN et al v. PONDEROSA INN et al | 2:2000cv02364-WBS | 10/25/00 |
| 568 | GERBER et al v. EPPIE'S MODESTO et al | 2:2000cv02723-WBS | 10/25/00 |
| 569 | DORAN et al v. EPPIE'S RESTAURANT et al | 2:2000cv02404-WBS | 10/30/00 |
| 570 | LOSKOT et al v. PINE STREET SCHOOL et al | 2:2000cv02405-DFL | 10/30/00 |
| 571 | GERBER et al v. BURGER KING MADERA et al | 1:2000cv06886-AWI | 11/02/00 |
| 572 | LOSKOT et al v. BEST WESTERN INN AND SUITES VALLEJO et al | 2:2000cv02555-FCD | 11/20/00 |
| 573 | JANKEY et al v. TAHOE LIMITED CORP, et al | 2:2000cv02556-MLS | 11/20/00 |
| 574 | RAY et al v. KML CORPORATION et al | 2:2000cv02557-MLS | 11/20/00 |
| 575 | LOSKOT et al v. CRYSTAL MALL et al | 2:2000cv02671-FCD | 12/06/00 |
| 576 | HAUGSTEN et al v. MERVYNS' STOCKTON, et al. | 2:2001cv00206-DFL | 01/31/01 |
| 577 | DORAN et al v. BELL et al. | 2:2001cv00287-GEB | 02/13/01 |
| 578 | DORAN et al v. CORINAS' RESTAURANT et al | 2:2001cv00386-LKK | 02/26/01 |
| 579 | LOSKOT et al v. HAWES RANCH and FARM et al | 2:2001cv00876-DFL | 05/07/01 |
| 580 | DLIL et al v. PAVILIONS SHOPPING et al | 2:2001cv00893-LKK | 05/09/01 |
| 581 | ASHLEY et al v. FARMERS INS AGENCY et al | 2:2001cv00894-FCD | 05/09/01 |
| 582 | PICKERN et al v. MARINOS PIZZA et al | 2:2001cv01096-WBS | 06/04/01 |
| 583 | DORAN et al v. RED BLUFF CHRYSLER et al | 2:2001cv01122-MCE | 06/08/01 |
| 584 | CONNALLY et al v. RIVER RANCH LODGE et al | 2:2001cv01590-TFL | 08/16/01 |
| 585 | PICKERN et al v. ENLOE MEDICAL CTR | 2:2001cv01710-MCE | 09/07/01 |
| 586 | D'LIL et al v. YREKA DAYS INN et al | 2:2001cv01711-MLS | 09/07/01 |
| 587 | LOSKOT et al v. ANDERSON MEDICAL et al | 2:2001cv01747-WBS | 09/13/01 |
| 588 | LOSKOT et al v. GERLINGER STEEL et al | 2:2001cv01748-GEB | 09/13/01 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|----------|
| | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| 589 | GERBER et al v. FLORENTINE RESTAURANT et al | 2:2001cv01954-WBS | 10/22/01 |
| 590 | DORAN et al v. OROVILLE HOSPITAL et al | 2:2001cv01998-DFL | 10/29/01 |
| 591 | LOSKOT et al v. SACTO CAPITOL CENTER et al | 2:2002cv00086-WBS | 01/14/02 |
| 592 | WEYGANDT et al v. LITHIA CIMR INC et al | 2:2002cv00092-MCE | 01/14/02 |
| 593 | DORAN et al v. CAMERON PARK INN et al | 2:2002cv00267-GEB | 02/01/02 |
| 594 | LOSKOT et al v. 3 BROTHER'S REST et al | 2:2002cv00275-MCE | 02/04/02 |
| 595 | DORAN et al v. WAFFLE SHOP et al | 2:2002cv00916-MCE | 04/29/02 |
| 596 | DORAN et al v. DAYS INN OROVILLE et al | 2:2002cv01208-MCE | 06/05/02 |
| 597 | DORAN et al v. SUNSET INN OROVILLE et al | 2:2002cv01209-MCE | 06/05/02 |
| 598 | DORAN et al v. DQ ORANGEVALE et al | 2:2002cv01241-DFL | 06/10/02 |
| 599 | DORAN et al v. WILD SPORTS ENT et al | 2:2002cv01242-DFL | 06/10/02 |
| 600 | DORAN et al v. ROCKLIN DAYS INN et al | 2:2002cv01273-MCE | 06/12/02 |
| 601 | DORAN et al v. CROWN MOTORS et al | 2:2002cv01274-LKK | 06/12/02 |
| 602 | WEYGANDT et al v. LA CABANA MEXICAN et al | 2:2002cv02048-MCE | 09/18/02 |
| 603 | JONES et al v. FAR EAST CAFÉ et al | 2:2002cv02490-WBS | 11/18/02 |
| 604 | HARRIS et al v. KOFFEE KORNER et al | 2:2002cv02508-GEB | 11/19/02 |
| 605 | LONG et al v. VIKING MOTOR LODGE et al | 2:2002cv02523-WBS | 11/21/02 |
| 606 | FEEZOR et al v. EL MARIACHI et al | 2:2002cv02617-WBS | 12/06/02 |
| 607 | CONE et al v. AMER RIVER DR ASSOC et al | 2:2002cv02637-GEB | 12/10/02 |
| 608 | JONES et al v. EL MARIACHI REST et al | 2:2002cv02751-LKK | 12/30/02 |
| 609 | DORAN et al v. BEST TAHOE WEST INN et al | 2:2003cv00475-LKK | 03/11/03 |
| 610 | DORAN et al v. DISCOVERY PARK DAYS et al | 2:2003cv00539-MCE | 03/17/03 |
| 611 | FEEZOR et al v. COMFORT INN VALLEJO et al | 2:2003cv00540-LKK | 03/17/03 |
| 612 | JONES et al v. PARK MARINA VILLAGE et al | 2:2003cv00697-FCD | 04/04/03 |
| 613 | DORAN et al v. BEST WESTERN GOLDEN et al | 2:2003cv00758-LKK | 04/14/03 |
| 614 | DORAN et al v. RED LION HOTEL et al | 2:2003cv00759-LKK | 04/14/03 |
| 615 | CONNALLY et al v CARL'S JR SANTA et al | 2:2003cv00760-GEB | 04/14/03 |
| 616 | DORAN et al v. VALLEJO QUALITY INN et al | 2:2003cv00804-FCD | 04/18/03 |
| 617 | WEYGANDT et al v. PIZZA HUT HILLTOP et al | 2:2003cv00808-LKK | 04/18/03 |
| 618 | DORAN et al v. OILWELL MATERIALS et al | 2:2003cv01052-WBS | 05/19/03 |
| 619 | FEEZOR et al v. CARROWS RESTAURANT et al | 2:2003cv01417-GEB | 07/03/03 |
| 620 | STEVIE et al v. PIZZA HUT HILLTOP et al | 2:2003cv01505-LKK | 07/15/03 |
| 621 | D'LIL et al v. BAKER'S SQUARE RST et al | 2:2003cv01542-GEB | 07/22/03 |
| 622 | DORAN et al v. KING'S TRADING POST et al | 2:2003cv01946-DFL | 09/17/03 |
| 623 | LOSKOT et al v. HARBOR INN et al | 2:2003cv02189-MCE | 10/17/03 |
| 624 | LOSKOT et al v. BAKER'S SQUARE WEST et al | 2:2003cv02337-GEB | 11/10/03 |
| 625 | BOWMAN et al v. BEST WESTERN STATION et al | 2:2004cv00755-GEB | 04/15/04 |
| 626 | CHAPMAN et al v. PARK WEST OFFICE CTR et al | 2:2004cv01520-FCD | 08/03/04 |
| 627 | CHAPMAN et al v. DAVIS ORTHOPEDIC et al | 2:2004cv01668-WBS | 08/16/04 |
| 628 | LOSKOT et al v. NORTHSTATE RECYCLING et al | 2:2004cv015670-LKK | 08/16/04 |
| 629 | LOSKOT et al v. ASIAN BUFFET INC et al | 2:2004cv01719-DFL | 08/23/04 |
| 630 | LOSKOT et al v. UNITED PETROLEUM et al | 2:2004cv01992-GEB | 09/24/04 |
| 631 | DORAN et al v. PEA SOUP ANDERSEN'S et al | 1:2004cv06375-OWW | 10/04/04 |
| 632 | LOSKOT et al v. GREEN VALLEY FORD | 2:2004cv02096-GEB | 10/06/04 |
| 633 | LOSKOT et al v. CASCADE RIGGING & SUPPLY COMPANY INC et al | 2:2006cv01164-GEB | 05/30/06 |
| 634 | LOSKOT et al v. PEKING RESTAURANT et al | 2:2007cv01324-FCD | 07/05/07 |

Yates, et al. v. La Rocca, et al.
Exhibit A

| | | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA (CONTINUED) | | |
| | | | |
| 635 | LOSKOT et al v. BEST WESTERN INN AND SUITES VALLEJO et al | 2:2007cv01519-FCD | 07/26/07 |
| 636 | CHAPMAN et al v. SUBWAY SALADS and SANDWICHES #14054 et al | 2:2007cv01564-FCD | 08/01/07 |

CERTIFICATE OR PROOF OF SERVICE

State of California)
) ss
County of Marin)

I, the undersigned, say: I am and was at all times herein mentioned, a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the within action or proceeding; that my business address is 4328 Redwood Hwy, Suite 300, San Rafael, CA 94903; that on the below date, following normal business practice, I served the foregoing document, described as:

OPPOSITION TO NOTICE OF RELATED CASES

on the interested parties in this action, conveyed as follows:

- ☒ by depositing true copies thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, with postage thereon fully prepaid:
- ☒ in first class U.S. Mail

I am readily familiar with this office's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service or Federal Express. In the ordinary course of business, correspondence, including said envelope, will be deposited with the United States Postal Service or Federal Express in San Rafael.

addressed to:

Frank S. Moore
Law Offices of Frank S. Moore, APC
1374 Pacific Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94109

Leo M. LaRocca, Esq.
Niven & Smith
425 California Street, 15th Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94104-2118

Janet M. Brayer
Law Offices of Janet Brayer
20 California Street, #201
San Francisco, CA 94111

Lisa K. Padilla
The Lembi Group
2099 Market Street
San Francisco, CA 94114

Rawhi Salem
P.O. Box 1341
Millbrae, CA 94030

Shivani Aggarwal
114 Forest Lane
San Rafael, CA 94903

Paul R. Perdue
Attorney at Law
369 Pine Street, Suite 610
San Francisco, CA 94104

Robert S. Aaron, Esq.
Aaron & Wilson, LLP
150 Post Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94108

Dena M. Roche
Daniel J. O'Riely
O'Riely, & Roche LLP
101 California Street, Suite 2450
San Francisco, CA 94111

Nancy S. Sullivan Walter, ESQ.
Law Offices of Thomas O'Hagan
1999 Harrison Street, Suite 2070
Oakland, CA 94612

Jeffrey A. Chen
Law Offices of Jeffrey A. Chen
275 Fifth Street, 4th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103

Martin H. Orlick
Matthew S. Kenefick
Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Marmaro LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, Fifth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111-3824

H. Paul Bryant
Law Office of H. Paul Bryant
725 Washington Street, Suite 725
Oakland, CA 94607

Harrison Nam
One Market Plaza
Steuart Tower, 8th Fl.
San Francisco, CA 94105

R. Michael Lieberman
Law Office of R. Michael Lieberman
1398 Post Street
San Francisco, CA 94109

Timothy Thimesch
Thimesch Law Offices
158 Hilltop Crescent
Walnut Creek, CA 94576

The following parties were served via the United States District Court for the Northern District's e-filing system:

Matthew Scott Kenefick
Martin H. Orlick
Jeffer Mangels Butler & Marmaro LLP
Two Embarcadero Center, 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
415-398-8080
415-398-5584 (fax)
MKenefick@jmbm.com
mho@jmbm.com

Peter C. De Golia
Jeffrey S. Lyons
Clement Fitzpatrick & Kenworthy
PC
3333 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2261
(707) 523-1181
(707) 546-1360 (fax)
pdegolia@cfk.com
jlyons@cfk.com

Kurt A. Franklin
Kevin Durrell Reese
Hanson Bridgett LLP
425 Market Street
26th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
415-777-3200
415-541-9366 (fax)
kfranklin@hansonbridgett.com
KReese@hansonbridgett.com

Merrilee C. Miller
Law Offices of William J. Diffenderfer
One Market, Spear Tower
Suite 2150
San Francisco, CA 94105
(415) 348-4150
800-914-3772 (fax)
merhag@safeco.com

Janet M. Brayer
Law Offices of Janet Brayer
20 California Street, #201
San Francisco, CA 94111
415-445-9555
415-445-9541 (fax)
janet@brayer.net

Peter C. De Golia
Clement Fitzpatrick & Kenworthy, PC
3333 Mendocino Avenue, Suite 200
Santa Rosa, CA 95403-2261
(707) 523-1181
(707) 546-1360 (fax)
pdegolia@cfk.com

1
2
3 Ara R. Jabaghourian
4 Cotchett Pitre & McCarthy
5 San Francisco Airport Office Center
6 840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
7 Burlingame, CA 94010
8 650-697-6000
9 650-697-0577 (fax)
10 ajabaghourian@cpmlegal.com

Jaemin Chang
Bay Capital Legal P.C.
582 Market Street Suite 805
San Francisco, CA 94104
415 445 2570
415 462 5737 (fax)
jchang@capitallegal.com

7
8 Curtis Edward Smolar
9 Bay Capital Legal P.C.
10 582 Market Street
11 Suite 805
12 San Francisco, CA 94104
13 (415) 445-2570
14 415-462-5737 (fax)
15 Curtis@capitallegal.com

Marvin Pederson
Attorney at Law
1160 North Dutton Avenue
Suite 150
Santa Rosa, CA 95401
707/544-9444
707/544-5829 (fax)
pederson@marvlaw.com

12
13 Tyler Mark Paetkau
14 Littler Mendelson, PC
15 650 California Street
16 San Francisco, CA 94108
17 415-433-1940
18 415-399-8490 (fax)
19 TPaetkau@littler.com

Richard E. Morton
Haight Brown & Bonesteel LLP
5 Hutton Centre Drive, Suite 900
Santa Ana, CA 92707
714-754-1100
714-754-0826 (fax)
rmorton@hbblaw.com

17
18 John Henry Feldmann, III
19 Law Offices of John H. Feldmann III
20 P.O. Box 150329
21 211 Forbes Ave.
22 San Rafael, CA 94915
23 (415) 453-8249
24 jhfiii@pacbell.net

George Joseph Keller
Donald Douglas Shureen
Jeffrey Eugene Duplicki
McMillan & Shureen LLP
Fifth Floor
50 Santa Rosa Ave
Santa Rosa, CA 95404-4908
george.keller@mcmillanshureen.com
doug.shureen@mcmillanshureen.com

24
25 Gail F. Flatt
26 Provencher & Flatt LLP
27 823 Sonoma Avenue
28 Santa Rosa, CA 95404-4714
(707) 284-2380
(707) 284-2387 (fax)
gff@provlaw.com

Bradford John DeMeo
DeMeo & DeMeo
565 W. College Avenue
Santa Rosa, CA 95401
707-545-3232
707-545-1725 (fax)
demeo5@sonic.net
jwest37333@sbcglobal.net

1 Patrick E. Guevara
2 Randick O'Dea & Tooliatos, LLP
3 5000 Hopyard Road
4 Suite 400
5 Pleasanton, CA 94588
(925) 460-3700
(925) 460-0969 (fax)
pguevara@randicklaw.com

Michelle Valerie Zyromski
Zyromski Konicek LLP
2455 Bennett Valley Road, Suite B-204
Santa Rosa, CA 95404
(707) 542-1393
(707) 542-7697 (fax)
mzyromski@zkklegal.com

6 Wing Cheong Lee
7 Attorney at Law
8 275 6th Avenue, #102
9 San Francisco, CA 94113
415-831-8816
wingcleee88@hotmail.com

Jason George Gong
Livingston Law Firm
1600 South Main Street
Suite 280
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
(925) 952-9880
(925) 952-9881 (fax)
jgong@livingstonlawyers.com

11
12 Matthew Philip Harrington
13 Hughes & Gill, P.C.
14 1600 S. Main Street
Suite 315
15 Walnut Creek, CA 94596
925-926-1200
925-926-1202 (fax)
mharrington@hughes-gill.com

Alison M. Crane
Bledsoe, Cathcart, Diestel &
Pedersen
601 California Street
16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108-2805
415-981-5411
415-981-0352 (fax)
Acrane@bledsoelaw.com

17 James Albert Sarraill
18 Sarraill, Lynch & Hall, LLP
19 700 Airport Blvd., Suite 420
20 Burlingame, CA 94010
650-685-9200
650-685-9206 (fax)
jsarraill@slhlawfirm.com

Lawrence Allen Baskin
Krause & Baskin
1120 Nye St Ste 300
San Rafael, CA 94901
(415) 456-2500
(415) 456-1580 (fax)
larry@baskinlaw.com

22
23
24 Todd Alan Angstadt
25 Phillips Spallas et al LLP
26 650 California St 10FL
San Francisco, CA 94108
415-278-9400
415-278-9411 (fax)

Andrew K. Jacobson
Bay Oak Law Firm, APLC
180 Grand Ave, Ste 700
Oakland, CA 94612-3763
510-208-5500
510-208-5511 (fax)
andy@bayoaklaw.com

Kathleen Darmagnac
Stubbs & Leone
2175 N. California Blvd, Ste. 900
Walnut Creek, CA 94596
925-974-8600
925-974-8601 (fax)
darmagnack@stubbsleone.com

Jon C. Yonemitsu
Gordon & Rees LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2000
San Francisco, CA 94111
(415) 986-5900
(415) 986-8054 (fax)
jyonemitsu@gordonrees.com

David W. Evans
Nairi Chakalian
Haight Brown & Bonesteel LLP
71 Stevenson Street, 20th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105-2981
(415) 546-7500
(415) 546-7505 (fax)
devans@hbblaw.com
nchakalian@hbblaw.com

James J. Zenere
Sheuerman Martini & Tabari
A Professional Corporation
1033 Willow Street
San Jose, CA 95125
(408) 288-9700
(408) 295-9900 (fax)
jzenere@smtlaw.com

Alden John Parker
Basham Parker, LLP
701 University Ave., Ste. 220
Sacramento, CA 95825
(916) 925-5850
(916) 925-5854
alden@bashamparker.com

Lizbeth V. West
Weintraub Gensler Chediak, LC
400 Capitol Mall, 11th Floor
Sacramento, CA 95814

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made, and that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on September 2, 2008, at San Rafael, California.

_____/s/_____
Pepper B. Maupin
(Original signed)